

KARL J. KRAMER (CA SBN 136433)
kkramer@mofo.com
MARC J. PERNICK (CA SBN 160591)
mpernick@mofo.com
SUSAN V. VAUGHAN (CA SBN 223576)
svaughan@mofo.com
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, California 94304-1018
Telephone: (650) 813-5600
Facsimile: (650) 494-0792

J. RYAN GILFOIL (CA SBN 246493)
jgilfoil@mofo.com
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, California 94105-2482
Telephone: (415) 268-7000
Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION

SCOTT J. BORNSTEIN (*Pro Hac Vice*)
bornsteins@gtlaw.com
ALLAN A. KASSENOFF (*Pro Hac Vice*)
kassenoffa@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
MetLife Building
New York, NY 10166
Telephone: (212) 801-9200
Facsimile: (212) 801-6400

JAMES W. SOONG (SBN: 196092)
soongj@gtlaw.com
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
1900 University Avenue, Fifth Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Telephone: (650) 328-8500
Facsimile: (650) 328-8508

Attorneys for Defendant
RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:04-CV-03268-MHP

**STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
ORDER REGARDING PAGE
LIMIT FOR CYBERSOURCE'S
OPPOSITION TO RED'S
INVALIDITY (35 U.S.C. §§ 102,
103) MOTION**

Subject to the Court's approval, Plaintiff CyberSource Corporation and Defendant Retail Decisions, Inc. (collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective attorneys, hereby stipulate that:

1. CyberSource's opposition to ReD's summary judgment motion on Sections 102/103 invalidity ("§§ 102/103 Motion") is due on February 23, 2009.

2. Under Local Rule 7-4(b), CyberSource's opposition is limited to 25 pages, unless the Court expressly orders otherwise.

3. ReD has moved for summary judgment that CyberSource's patent is invalid as anticipated and/or obvious over nine primary references and a number of additional secondary references. Pursuant to a stipulation and Court order of January 27, 2009, the Court extended the page limit for ReD's motion to 35 pages.

4. In light of the scope of ReD's motion and the length and format of its discussion, CyberSource has requested and ReD has stipulated to extend the page limit for CyberSource's opposition from 25 pages to 45 pages.

Dated: February 19, 2009

KARL J. KRAMER
MARC J. PERNICK
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By: /s/ Marc J. Pernick

Marc J. Pernick
MPernick@mofo.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
CYBERSOURCE CORPORATION

Dated: February 19, 2009

SCOTT J. BORNSTEIN
ALLAN A. KASSENOFF
JAMES W. SOONG
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Allan A. Kassenoff


Allan A. Kassenoff
kassenoffa@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant
RETAIL DECISIONS, INC.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/20/2009

HON. MARILYN H. PATEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT



1 I, MARC J. PERNICK, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file
2 this STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMIT FOR
3 CYBERSOURCE'S OPPOSITION TO RED'S INVALIDITY (35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103)
4 MOTION. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that Allan A. Kassenoff
5 has concurred in this filing.

6 Dated: February 19, 2009

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

7
8 By: /s/ Marc J. Pernick